

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,823	03/29/2004	Wayne M. Senesac	6196-8	5094
7590 06/06/2006			EXAMINER	
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			PUROL, DAVID M	
Bank One Cente	r/Tower	•		
Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle			3634	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/812,823	SENESAC ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		David M. Purol	3634		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2004.			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 25-39</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>12-16,20-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>17-19</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,823 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

1. On page 1, the status of the related application is to be updated.

On page 15, lines 23 and 25 each use the reference numeral 906 to denote the intermediate section, wherein, the reference numeral 906 has been previously used to denote the upper section. Only one particular reference numeral is to be used to denote a specific element. Correction is required.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-16,20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shapiro in view of Bosworth. Shapiro discloses an apparatus comprising a pleated vane cover 16 each having reattachable fasteners 19 disposed on hem 17. While Shapiro does not disclose the use of selvedge material, Bosworth discloses an apparatus which employs the use of selvedge material 20,21,25,26, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the apparatus of Shapiro for the purpose of reinforcing the material would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claims 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,823 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

4. Claims 1-11,25-39 are allowed.

5. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent

to applicant's disclosure: Nestor, Senesac, Acker et al, Stam, Ronkholz-Tolle, Nien,

Marocco, Zak, Forin, Holgate, Bauer et al, Oskam.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M. Purol

at telephone number (571) 272-6833.

David M Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (571) 272-6833 May 30, 2006